

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Vincent S., ¹)	C/A No.: 1:21-cv-1561-SVH
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	ORDER
Kilolo Kijakazi, ² Acting)	
Commissioner of Social Security)	
Administration,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

Defendant, Kilolo Kijakazi, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (“Commissioner”), by her attorneys, M. Rhett DeHart, Acting United States Attorney for the District of South Carolina, and Beth Drake, Assistant United States Attorney for said district, has moved this court, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), to enter a judgment with an order of reversal with remand of the cause to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. [ECF No. 14]. The motion represents that Plaintiff’s counsel consents to the motion. *Id.*

¹ The Committee on Court Administration and Case Management of the Judicial Conference of the United States has recommended that, due to significant privacy concerns in social security cases, federal courts should refer to claimants only by their first names and last initials.

² Kilolo Kijakazi became the Acting Commissioner of Social Security on July 9, 2021. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d), she is substituted for former Commissioner Andrew Saul as the defendant in this action.

Plaintiff filed a brief alleging the Commissioner erred in evaluating the severity of diabetes mellitus and obesity; assessing sarcoidosis pursuant to the listings; allocating “little weight” to three medical opinions from two of his treating medical providers; evaluating his subjective allegations as to the severity of his symptoms; and assessing his residual functional capacity. [ECF No. 13]. The Commissioner declines to address these issues individually, but concedes that remand is appropriate pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), which authorizes the court to remand the case where the Commissioner’s decision is not supported by substantial evidence or where she failed to apply the proper legal standard. [ECF No. 14].

Pursuant to the power of this court to enter a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the Commissioner’s decision with remand in Social Security actions under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), and given the Commissioner’s request for remand, this court hereby reverses the Commissioner’s decision under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) with a remand of the cause to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292 (1993). Upon remand, the Appeals Council shall vacate the Administrative Law Judge’s (“ALJ’s”) decision and refer the case to an ALJ to further evaluate the evidence, provide Plaintiff an opportunity for another hearing, and issue a new

decision. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter a separate judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 5, 2021
Columbia, South Carolina



Shiva V. Hodges
United States Magistrate Judge